

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRACEMARKS,
Wordington 1007 20201
www.isptogov

APPL:CATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 677,198	10 02 2000	Michael J. Natan	PSU 00 2182B	7112
7:	590 08 07 2002			
Thomas J. Monahan, Director			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Pro The Pennsylvar	perty Office nia State University		MARSCHEL	., ARDIN H
113 Technology Center University Park, PA 16802-7000			ART UNII	PAPER NUMBER
Cinversity I will			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 08 07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/677,198

Natan et al.

Examiner

Ardin Marschel

Art Unit **1631**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication. 	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the later than three months after the mailing date of a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) X This act	tion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-86</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6): Claim(s)	
7) Claim(s)	
8) X Claims <u>1-86</u>	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) X The drawing(s) filed on Oct 2, 2000 is/are	a) X accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some* c) None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	•
a) The translation of the foreign language provisions	
15) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachment(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Thterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) [_] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) [_] Other:

Serial No. 09/677,198 - 2 - Art Unit: 1631 The art unit designated for this application has changed. Applicant(s) are hereby informed that future correspondence should be directed to Art Unit 1631. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121: Claims 1-36, 48-54, and 65-68; drawn to a freestanding particle with a plurality of segments, classified in Class 422, subclass 55. If this Group is elected then the below summarized specie election is also required. II. Claims 37-47 and 55-64, drawn to an assembly of particles with a plurality of particle types therein, classified in Class 422, subclass 50. If this Group is elected then the below summarized specie election is also required. Claims 69-72, drawn to a method of encoding information about a material, classified in Class 702, subclass 33. Claims 73-86, drawn to a method for conducting an assay, classified in Class 73, subclass 61.41. If this Group is elected then the below summarized specie election is also required. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: The inventions of Groups I and II are distinct because the single particle invention of Group I is directed to either

Serial No. 09/677,198 - 3 - Art Unit: 1631 information carrying or functional assay usage wherein a particular particle type is analyzed or detected. In contrast the assembly invention of Group II would be utilized in the performance of multiple assays or profiling of multiple analytes or complex multiple particle detection schemes. No such profiling or multiple methodology is doable with the single particle invention of Group I. Thus the lack of overlapping practices between Groups I and II document the undue search burden if these Groups are searched together and support the distinctness between Groups I and II.

The inventions of Group I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the particles of Group I may simply be utilized in methods wherein such particles movement is detected without any added information storage as would be required in Group III. Thus, Groups I and III are distinct.

The inventions of Group I and Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

Serial No. 09/677,198 - 4 - Art Unit: 1631 process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of Group I may be utilized in the distinct usage of purely following its movement to detect, for example, fluid flow.

The inventions of Group II and Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the invention of Group II may be utilized in multiple assay usage without regard to information storage but rather merely for detection usage.

The inventions of Group II and Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the invention of Group II may be utilized in simply or complex

Serial No. 09/677,198 - 5 - Art Unit: 1631 information storage as in Group III without assay usage as in Group IV.

The inventions of Group III and IV are distinct methods because information storage as performed in the invention of Group III may be utilized without any assay of analyte(s) as required in Group IV which are thus distinct usages.

SPECIE ELECTION REQUIREMENT FOR EITHER OF GROUPS I or II:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie A: particles with segment but without additional functionalization

Specie B: particles with segments plus functionalization

Particles that may be either identified or contain

information storage may be thus utilized for those

characteristics, whereas, in contrast functionalization adds

analyte binding capability or assay usage which are distinct

usages as also noted above.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species from the above species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-15(Group I) and claims 37-42 and 55-60(Group II) are generic in their respective Groups.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected

- 6 - Art Unit: 1631 Serial No. 09/677,198 consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a). Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the Other invention. SPECIE ELECTION REQUIREMENT REGARDING GROUP IV: This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Specie A: single analyte assay Specie B: plural analyte assay Plural analyte assays are generally for profiling or multiple determinations which are commonly a bioinformatics type of assay which is a distinct from the well known single analyte assay types as generally performed for simplicity of operation. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 73-78, 80, and 81 are

Art Unit: 1631 - 7 -Serial No. 09/677,198 generic to the above species in Group IV. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a). Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR § 1.143). Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 1631 - 8 -Serial No. 09/677,198 currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h). Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703)308-4242 or (703)305-3014. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Patent Analyst, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196. August 6, 2002 ABBIN H. MARSCHEL PARAPYEANTHER